

ON THE ESSENTIAL SPECTRUM OF THE SUM OF SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS AND THE CLOSEDNESS OF THE SUM OF OPERATOR RANGES

IVAN S. FESHCHENKO

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a complex Hilbert space, and $A_1, \dots, A_N$ be $N \geq 2$ bounded self-adjoint operators in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $A_i A_j$ is compact for $i \neq j$. Define

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i.$$

1.1. On the essential spectrum of the sum of self-adjoint operators. The following result on the essential spectrum of A , $\sigma_e(A)$, is well-known in scattering theory (see, e.g., [3, Proposition 3.1], [2, Lemma 1.5]).

Theorem A. *We have*

$$\sigma_e(A) \setminus \{0\} = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^N \sigma_e(A_i) \right) \setminus \{0\}.$$

For convenience of the reader, we will prove Theorem A (following [3]) in Section 3.

What one can say about the point 0?

If $\mathcal{H}$ is infinite dimensional, then $0 \in \bigcup_{i=1}^N \sigma_e(A_i)$. Indeed, it is easily checked, that if B_1, B_2 are bounded self-adjoint operators in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $B_1 B_2$ is compact, then $0 \notin \sigma_e(B_1) \Rightarrow B_2$ is compact. Hence, if $0 \notin \sigma_e(A_1)$, then A_2 is compact, and, consequently, $\sigma_e(A_2) = \{0\}$.

Thus, the following question arises naturally: when $0 \in \sigma_e(A)$? We will give an answer to this question in terms of projection valued spectral measures of A_i , $E_{A_i}(\cdot)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. Define the subspace

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(A_1, \dots, A_N) = \bigcap_{i=1}^N E_{A_i}([-\varepsilon, \varepsilon])\mathcal{H}, \quad \varepsilon \geq 0.$$

Main Theorem. $0 \in \sigma_e(A)$ if and only if the subspace $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$ is infinite dimensional for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

1.2. On the closedness of the sum of operator ranges. We consider the following question: when the sum of ranges of A_i , $\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Ran}(A_i)$, is closed? This question arises naturally in connection with the following result having applications in theoretical tomography (see [1] and the bibliography therein).

Theorem B. *Let $P_1, \dots, P_N$ be orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{H}$. Suppose $P_i P_j$ is compact for $i \neq j$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Ran}(P_i)$ is closed.*

Using Main Theorem, we prove a criterion for $\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Ran}(A_i)$ to be closed. Recall that the subspaces $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon \geq 0$, are defined by (1.1). Clearly,

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \bigcap_{i=1}^N \text{Ker}(A_i).$$

Note that $\mathcal{H}_0 \subset \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Theorem 1. *$\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Ran}(A_i)$ is closed if and only if $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{H}_0$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Remark 1. Moreover, we will prove that if $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon \ominus \mathcal{H}_0$ is finite dimensional for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then $\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Ran}(A_i)$ is closed.

Remark 2. If $\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Ran}(A_i)$ is closed, then $\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Ran}(A_i) = \mathcal{H} \ominus \mathcal{H}_0$. Indeed, suppose $\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Ran}(A_i)$ is closed. We have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Ran}(A_i) \right)^\perp = \bigcap_{i=1}^N (\text{Ran}(A_i))^\perp = \bigcap_{i=1}^N \text{Ker}(A_i) = \mathcal{H}_0.$$

Hence, $\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Ran}(A_i) = \mathcal{H} \ominus \mathcal{H}_0$.

Using this criterion for $\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Ran}(A_i)$ to be closed, we get the following generalization of Theorem B.

Theorem 2. *Let $A_{p,i}$, $i = 1, \dots, N_p$, $p = 1, \dots, m$ be bounded linear operators in $\mathcal{H}$. Suppose $A_{p,i}^* A_{q,j}$ is compact for any $p \neq q$, $i = 1, \dots, N_p$, $j = 1, \dots, N_q$. If $\mathcal{R}_p = \sum_{i=1}^{N_p} \text{Ran}(A_{p,i})$ is closed for any $p = 1, \dots, m$, then $\sum_{p=1}^m \mathcal{R}_p$ is closed.*

2. AUXILIARY RESULTS AND NOTIONS

2.1. The essential spectrum of a self-adjoint operator. Let A be a bounded self-adjoint operator in a complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. The essential spectrum of A , $\sigma_e(A)$, is the set of all $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ such that either λ is a limit point of $\sigma(A)$ or $\text{Ker}(A - \lambda I)$ is infinite-dimensional. The set $\sigma_d(A) = \sigma(A) \setminus \sigma_e(A)$ is called the discrete spectrum of A . Clearly, $\lambda \in \sigma_d(A)$ if and only if λ is an isolated point of $\sigma(A)$ and $\text{Ker}(A - \lambda I)$ is finite-dimensional.

In terms of the spectral measure $E_A(\cdot)$ the essential spectrum of A can be characterized as follows: $\lambda \in \sigma_e(A)$ if and only if $E_A((\lambda - \varepsilon, \lambda + \varepsilon))\mathcal{H}$ is infinite-dimensional for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

More convenient for us is a description of $\sigma_e(A)$ in terms of singular sequences. Recall that a sequence $\{x_k \mid k \geq 1\}$, $x_k \in \mathcal{H}$, $k \geq 1$, is called a singular sequence for A at the point λ if

- (1) $x_k \rightarrow 0$ weakly as $k \rightarrow \infty$;
- (2) x_k does not converge to 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$;
- (3) $(A - \lambda I)x_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us denote by $\text{sing}(A, \lambda)$ the set of all sequences $\{x_k \mid k \geq 1\}$ which are singular for A at λ .

Proposition 2.1. $\lambda \in \sigma_e(A)$ if and only if $\text{sing}(A, \lambda) \neq \emptyset$.

2.2. On the sum of operator ranges.

Proposition 2.2. Let $\mathcal{K}_1, \dots, \mathcal{K}_n$ and $\mathcal{H}$ be Hilbert spaces, $B_i : \mathcal{K}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ a bounded linear operators, $i = 1, \dots, n$. If $\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Ran}(B_i) = \mathcal{H}$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n B_i B_i^* \geq \varepsilon I$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. Define an operator $B : \mathcal{K}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{K}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by

$$B(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n B_i x_i, \quad x_i \in \mathcal{K}_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then $B^* : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{K}_n$ and

$$B^*x = (B_1^*x, \dots, B_n^*x), \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Since $\text{Ran}(B) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Ran}(B_i) = \mathcal{H}$, we conclude that B^* is an isomorphic embedding, that is, there exists $c > 0$ such that $\|B^*x\| \geq c\|x\|$, $x \in \mathcal{H}$. We have

$$\|B^*x\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|B_i^*x\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle B_i B_i^* x, x \rangle = \langle (\sum_{i=1}^n B_i B_i^*) x, x \rangle.$$

Hence, $\sum_{i=1}^n B_i B_i^* \geq c^2 I$. □

We will need a simple corollary of Proposition 2.2.

Corollary 2.1. Let $\mathcal{K}_1, \dots, \mathcal{K}_n$ and $\mathcal{H}$ be Hilbert spaces, $B_i : \mathcal{K}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ a bounded linear operators, $i = 1, \dots, n$. If $\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Ran}(B_i)$ is closed, then $\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Ran}(B_i) = \text{Ran}(\sum_{i=1}^n B_i B_i^*)$.

The following proposition is well-known.

Proposition 2.3. Let B be a bounded self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$. $\text{Ran}(B)$ is closed if and only if $\sigma(B) \cap ((-\varepsilon, 0) \cup (0, \varepsilon)) = \emptyset$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

The proof is trivial and is omitted.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM A

To prove Theorem A, we need the following simple lemma which shows relation between singular sequences of two bounded self-adjoint operators whose product is compact.

Lemma 3.1. Let B_1, B_2 be bounded self-adjoint operators in $\mathcal{H}$. Suppose $B_1 B_2$ is compact. If $\{x_k \mid k \geq 1\} \in \text{sing}(B_2, \lambda)$, where $\lambda \neq 0$, then $\{x_k \mid k \geq 1\} \in \text{sing}(B_1, 0)$.

Proof. We have $(B_2 - \lambda I)x_k \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that $B_1(B_2 - \lambda I)x_k \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty$, that is, $B_1B_2x_k - \lambda B_1x_k \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty$. Since B_1B_2 is compact and $x_k \rightarrow 0$ weakly, we conclude that $B_1B_2x_k \rightarrow 0$. Hence, $\lambda B_1x_k \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty$, whence, $B_1x_k \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $\{x_k \mid k \geq 1\} \in \text{sing}(B_1, 0)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem A. 1. Suppose that $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{ess}}(A_i)$ for some i , and $\lambda \neq 0$. Let us show that $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{ess}}(A)$. There exists a sequence $\{x_k \mid k \geq 1\} \in \text{sing}(A_i, \lambda)$. By Lemma 3.1, $\{x_k \mid k \geq 1\} \in \text{sing}(A_j, 0)$ for $j \neq i$. We have

$$(A - \lambda I)x_k = (A_i - \lambda I)x_k + \sum_{j \neq i} A_j x_k \rightarrow 0, \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, $\{x_k \mid k \geq 1\} \in \text{sing}(A, \lambda)$, whence $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{ess}}(A)$.

2. Suppose that $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{ess}}(A)$, $\lambda \neq 0$. Let us prove that $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{ess}}(A_i)$ for some i . There exists $\{x_k \mid k \geq 1\} \in \text{sing}(A, \lambda)$. We have

$$(3.1) \quad (A - \lambda I)x_k = \left(\sum_{j=1}^N A_j - \lambda I \right) x_k \rightarrow 0, \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then $A_i(\sum_{j=1}^N A_j - \lambda I)x_k \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty$. Since A_iA_j is compact for $j \neq i$ and $x_k \rightarrow 0$ weakly, we conclude that $A_iA_jx_k \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty$ for $j \neq i$. Hence, $A_i(A_i - \lambda I)x_k \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty$, that is, $(A_i - \lambda I)A_ix_k \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty$.

Suppose that there exists i such that the sequence A_ix_k does not converge to 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\{A_ix_k \mid k \geq 1\} \in \text{sing}(A_i, \lambda)$, whence $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{ess}}(A_i)$.

Now assume that $A_ix_k \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty$ for any $i = 1, \dots, N$. From (3.1) it follows that $\lambda x_k \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $x_k \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty$, a contradiction.

The proof is complete. $\square$

4. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

To prove Main Theorem, we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *Let B, C be bounded self-adjoint operators in $\mathcal{H}$. If BC is compact, then*

$$E_B(\mathbb{R} \setminus [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon])E_C(\mathbb{R} \setminus [-\delta, \delta])$$

is compact for any $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$.

Proof. Set $P = E_B(\mathbb{R} \setminus [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon])$, $Q = E_C(\mathbb{R} \setminus [-\delta, \delta])$. Then $B^2 \geq \varepsilon^2 P$, $C^2 \geq \delta^2 Q$.

Since BC is compact, we see that BC^2B is compact. Clearly, $BC^2B \geq \delta^2 BQB$. Hence, BQB is compact. But $BQB = (BQ)(BQ)^*$. Consequently, BQ is compact. Then QB^2Q is compact. Clearly, $QB^2Q \geq \varepsilon^2 QPQ$. Hence, QPQ is compact. But $QPQ = (QP)(QP)^*$. Consequently, QP is compact. It follows that PQ is compact. $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $P_1, \dots, P_n$ be orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{H}$. Suppose that P_iP_j is compact for any $i \neq j$. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that*

$$\sigma\left(\sum_{i=1}^n P_i\right) \cap (0, \varepsilon) = \emptyset.$$

Proof. Set $\mathcal{H}_i = \text{Ran}(P_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Define an operator $\Gamma : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{H}_n$ by

$$\Gamma x = (P_1 x, \dots, P_n x), \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Then $\Gamma^* : \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and

$$\Gamma^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 + \dots + x_n, \quad x_i \in \mathcal{H}_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence, $\Gamma^* \Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^n P_i$. Clearly, the operator $\Gamma \Gamma^* : \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{H}_n$ and its block decomposition is equal to

$$\Gamma \Gamma^* = (P_i \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{H}_j} : \mathcal{H}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n).$$

Since $P_i P_j$ is compact for any $i \neq j$, we conclude that $\Gamma \Gamma^* - I$ is compact. By the Weyl theorem, $\sigma_{ess}(\Gamma \Gamma^*) = \sigma_{ess}(I) \subset \{1\}$. Hence, $0 \notin \sigma_{ess}(\Gamma \Gamma^*)$, whence $\sigma(\Gamma \Gamma^*) \cap (0, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\sigma(\Gamma^* \Gamma) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma(\Gamma \Gamma^*) \setminus \{0\}$, we conclude that $\sigma(\sum_{i=1}^n P_i) \cap (0, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$. $\square$

Proof of Main Theorem. 1. Suppose the subspace $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$ is infinite dimensional for any $\varepsilon > 0$. We claim that $0 \in \sigma_{ess}(A)$. To prove this, we construct $\{x_k\} \in \text{sing}(A, 0)$ as follows. Take

$$x_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1, \quad \|x_1\| = 1.$$

Suppose $x_1, \dots, x_k$ have already been defined. Clearly, we can choose

$$x_{k+1} \in \mathcal{H}_{1/(k+1)}, \quad \|x_{k+1}\| = 1$$

such that x_{k+1} is orthogonal to x_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$. Hence, we obtain the sequence $\{x_k \mid k \geq 1\}$. By the construction, $\{x_k \mid k \geq 1\}$ is orthonormal. Moreover, $\|A_i x_k\| \leq 1/k$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. It follows that $\|A x_k\| \leq N/k$, $k \geq 1$. Consequently, $A x_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $\{x_k \mid k \geq 1\} \in \text{sing}(A, 0)$, whence $0 \in \sigma_{ess}(A)$.

2. Suppose $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$ is finite dimensional for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Let us show that $0 \notin \sigma_{ess}(A)$. Define $P_i = E_{A_i}(\mathbb{R} \setminus [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon])$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. By Lemma 4.1, $P_i P_j$ is compact for any $i \neq j$. By Lemma 4.2, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\sigma(\sum_{i=1}^N P_i) \cap (0, \delta) = \emptyset$. It follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N P_i + \delta Q \geq \delta I,$$

where Q is the orthogonal projection onto $\text{Ker}(\sum_{i=1}^N P_i)$. Clearly,

$$\text{Ker}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N P_i\right) = \cap_{i=1}^N \text{Ker}(P_i) = \cap_{i=1}^N E_{A_i}((-\varepsilon, \varepsilon))\mathcal{H}.$$

Hence, Q is a finite dimensional orthogonal projection. Since $A_i^2 \geq \varepsilon^2 P_i$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^N A_i^2 + \varepsilon^2 \delta Q \geq \sum_{i=1}^N \varepsilon^2 P_i + \varepsilon^2 \delta Q \geq \varepsilon^2 \delta I.$$

Hence,

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^N A_i^2 + \mu Q \geq \mu I,$$

where $\mu = \varepsilon^2 \delta$.

Now we are in a position to prove that $0 \notin \sigma_{ess}(A)$. Suppose that $0 \in \sigma_{ess}(A)$. There exists a sequence $\{x_k \mid k \geq 1\} \in \text{sing}(A, 0)$. We have $(\sum_{j=1}^N A_j)x_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. Then $A_i(\sum_{j=1}^N A_j)x_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since $A_i A_j$ is compact for $j \neq i$, we see that $A_i A_j x_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, $A_i^2 x_k \rightarrow 0$, $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\{x_k \mid k \geq 1\}$ is bounded, we conclude that $\langle A_i^2 x_k, x_k \rangle \rightarrow 0$, $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $\|A_i x_k\|^2 \rightarrow 0$, $k \rightarrow \infty$, whence $A_i x_k \rightarrow 0$, $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since Q is a finite dimensional operator and $x_k \rightarrow 0$ weakly, we conclude that $Qx_k \rightarrow 0$, $k \rightarrow \infty$. From (4.1) it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \langle A_i^2 x_k, x_k \rangle + \mu \langle Qx_k, x_k \rangle \geq \mu \|x_k\|^2,$$

that is,

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \|A_i x_k\|^2 + \mu \|Qx_k\|^2 \geq \mu \|x_k\|^2.$$

It follows that $x_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, a contradiction.

Hence, $0 \notin \sigma_e(A)$. □

5. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1 AND 2

Proof of Theorem 1. Consider the orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{K}$. With respect to this decomposition $A_i = 0 \oplus B_i$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, where B_i is a bounded self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{K}$. Since $\mathcal{H}_0 = \cap_{i=1}^N \text{Ker}(A_i)$, we see that $\cap_{i=1}^N \text{Ker}(B_i) = \{0\}$. Since $A_i A_j$ is compact for $i \neq j$, we conclude that $B_i B_j$ is compact for $i \neq j$.

1. Suppose $\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Ran}(A_i)$ is closed. Let us show that $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{H}_0$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

$\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Ran}(B_i) = \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Ran}(A_i)$ is closed. Since

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Ran}(B_i) \right)^\perp = \bigcap_{i=1}^N (\text{Ran}(B_i))^\perp = \bigcap_{i=1}^N \text{Ker}(B_i) = \{0\},$$

we conclude that $\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Ran}(B_i) = \mathcal{K}$. By Proposition 2.2, $\sum_{i=1}^N B_i^2 \geq \varepsilon I$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Set $\delta = \sqrt{\varepsilon/(2N)}$. We claim that $\mathcal{H}_\delta = \mathcal{H}_0$. Let us prove this. We have $E_{A_i}(\cdot) = E_0(\cdot) \oplus E_{B_i}(\cdot)$. Hence, $E_{A_i}([-\delta, \delta]) = I \oplus E_{B_i}([-\delta, \delta])$, whence $E_{A_i}([-\delta, \delta])\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus E_{B_i}([-\delta, \delta])\mathcal{K}$. Thus

$$\mathcal{H}_\delta = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \cap_{i=1}^N E_{B_i}([-\delta, \delta])\mathcal{K}.$$

But $\cap_{i=1}^N E_{B_i}([-\delta, \delta])\mathcal{K} = \{0\}$. Indeed, suppose $x \in \cap_{i=1}^N E_{B_i}([-\delta, \delta])\mathcal{K}$ and $x \neq 0$. Then $\|B_i x\| \leq \delta \|x\|$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. Hence,

$$\langle (\sum_{i=1}^N B_i^2)x, x \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \|B_i x\|^2 \leq N \delta^2 \|x\|^2 = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|x\|^2.$$

But $\langle (\sum_{i=1}^N B_i^2)x, x \rangle \geq \varepsilon \|x\|^2$. We get a contradiction. Hence, $\cap_{i=1}^N E_{B_i}([-\delta, \delta])\mathcal{K} = \{0\}$, and, consequently, $\mathcal{H}_\delta = \mathcal{H}_0$.

2. Let us prove that if $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{H}_0$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then $\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Ran}(A_i)$ is closed. We will prove a more stronger fact: if $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon \ominus \mathcal{H}_0$ is finite dimensional for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then $\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Ran}(A_i)$ is closed.

Since $A_i^2 = 0 \oplus B_i^2$, we see that $E_{A_i^2}(\cdot) = E_0(\cdot) \oplus E_{B_i^2}(\cdot)$. Hence, $E_{A_i^2}([-\varepsilon^2, \varepsilon^2]) = I \oplus E_{B_i^2}([-\varepsilon^2, \varepsilon^2])$. But $E_{A_i^2}([-\varepsilon^2, \varepsilon^2]) = E_{A_i}([-\varepsilon, \varepsilon])$. Hence, $E_{A_i}([-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]) = I \oplus E_{B_i^2}([-\varepsilon^2, \varepsilon^2])$, whence $E_{A_i}([-\varepsilon, \varepsilon])\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus E_{B_i^2}([-\varepsilon^2, \varepsilon^2])\mathcal{K}$. Thus

$$\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \cap_{i=1}^N E_{B_i^2}([-\varepsilon^2, \varepsilon^2])\mathcal{K}.$$

Thus, $E_{B_i^2}([-\varepsilon^2, \varepsilon^2])\mathcal{K}$ is finite dimensional. By Main Theorem, $0 \notin \sigma_e(\sum_{i=1}^N B_i^2)$. Moreover, since

$$\text{Ker}(\sum_{i=1}^N B_i^2) = \bigcap_{i=1}^N \text{Ker}(B_i) = \{0\},$$

we conclude that $0 \notin \sigma_d(\sum_{i=1}^N B_i^2)$. Hence, $0 \notin \sigma(\sum_{i=1}^N B_i^2)$, that is, the operator $\sum_{i=1}^N B_i^2$ is invertible. It follows that $\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Ran}(B_i) = \mathcal{K}$. Consequently, $\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Ran}(A_i) = \mathcal{K}$ is closed. $\square$

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. Define

$$B_p = \sum_{i=1}^{N_p} A_{p,i} A_{p,i}^*, \quad p = 1, \dots, m.$$

Clearly, B_p is a bounded self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$. Moreover, $B_p B_q$ is compact for $p \neq q$.

From Corollary 2.1 it follows that $\text{Ran}(B_p) = \mathcal{R}_p$, $p = 1, \dots, m$. By Proposition 2.3, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\sigma(B_p) \cap ((-\varepsilon, 0) \cup (0, \varepsilon)) = \emptyset$ for $p = 1, \dots, m$. It follows that $E_{B_p}([-\varepsilon/2, \varepsilon/2]) = E_{B_p}(\{0\})$, and, consequently, $E_{B_p}([-\varepsilon/2, \varepsilon/2])\mathcal{H} = \text{Ker}(B_i)$, $p = 1, \dots, m$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon/2}(B_1, \dots, B_m) = \cap_{p=1}^m \text{Ker}(B_p) = \mathcal{H}_0(B_1, \dots, B_m).$$

By Theorem 1, $\sum_{p=1}^m \text{Ran}(B_p) = \sum_{p=1}^m \mathcal{R}_p$ is closed. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Lang, *On sums of subspaces in topological vector spaces and an application in theoretical tomography*, Applicable Analysis, **18**, no. 4 (1984), 257-265.
- [2] V. V. Peller, *Hankel operators and their applications*, Springer Verlag, 2002.
- [3] A. Pushnitski, D. Yafaev, *A multichannel scheme in smooth scattering theory*, arXiv:1209.3238v1 [math.SP].